Imperial College London



# SoLid and reactor experiments

#### **Antonin Vacheret**

CrossTalk workshop: The fate of the Sterile Neutrino 18th January 2017 VUB, Brussels

#### Outline

- Reactor anomalies
- Recent reactor measurements
- SoLid: a dedicated experiment at very short baseline
- Summary

#### Anomalies 2011

$$v_e + {^{71}\text{Ga}} \rightarrow {^{71}\text{Ge}} + e^-$$



- re-analysis of calibration source data
  - $(L/E \sim 1m/0.1 MeV)$
- rate deficit of  $14 \pm 6 \%$ 
  - Gallium anomaly

$$\bar{\nu}_e + p \to e^+ + n$$



- 2011 re-evalatation of reactor antineutrino flux and update on cross-section parameters
  - 3.5% new conversion of ILL beta spectra
  - 1.5% off-equilibrium
  - 1.5% neutron lifetime  $\tau_n$
- rate deficit 6.5 %
  - Reactor anomaly

#### Flux calculations

- Method to calculate flux based on conversion of electron beta spectra to antineutrinos
- Electron beta measurements made at ILL in the 1980s by Schrekenbach et al. used as reference spectra for antineutrino flux calculations. Prediction to ~ 5% level
- Shift upward with respet to ILL beta-shape measurements was also found by P. Huber confirming the anomaly



#### Size of the rate anomaly



- Current precision experiment at short baseline (km) consistent with previous measurements < 100 m</li>
- rate deficit or theoritical excess ?

#### A possible explanation





- Light sterile neutrino with mass around 1 eV  $\overline{\nu}_e \rightarrow \nu_s$
- electron neutrino disappearance

 $P_{ea} \approx P_{es} = 4U_{e4}^2 U_{s4}^2 \sin^2(1.27\Delta m_3^2 L/E)$ 

 Oscillation of ~m scale for MeV neutrinos

6

#### Global fits 3+1 as of 2013



### 2014: a surprising result

 At neutrino 2014, Double Chooz showed this :



### 2014: a surprising result

Data (background subtracted) 1.2 No oscillation Reactor flux uncertainty Total systematic uncertainty Data / Predicted 0.25 MeV 1.1 • At neutrino 2014, Best fit: sin<sup>2</sup>20<sub>13</sub> = 0.090 at  $\Delta m^2 = 0.00244 \text{ eV}^2$ **Double Chooz showed** 1.0 this: 0. **DC-III (n-Gd) Preliminary** 0.8 Livetime: 467.90 days 2 3 5 6 7 8 1 followed by : Visible Energy (MeV) @ ICHEP 2014 @ NEUTRINO 2014 RENO Preliminary Data \$30000 Near detector 20000 Huber+Mueller (full unc.) Data e 25000 MC<sub>osc</sub> Huber+Mueller (reac. unc.) Entries / 250 keV 15000  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.100$ 20000 ILL+Vogel  $\Delta m_{11}^2 = 2.32 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ 15000 Measured spectrum 10000 10000 is normalized to prediction for shape 5000 5000 only comparison. (Data - MC) / MC 0.2 0.15 1.2 Data/Prediction 0.1 1.1 0.05 n 0.9 -0.05 0.8 -0.1 10 5 Prompt Energy [MeV] Prompt Positron Energy (MeV)

#### Expected spectrum shape

 Conversion method and other calculations tested at Bugey-3



 why bump not seen at Bugey-3 like other experiment at PWR ?

### Origin of the bump

- · Size of the bump found to be correlated with reactor power
  - confirmed by cancellation between near and far detector measurements
  - many crosschecks by all 3 reactor experiments
- · Existence of a bump points towards issue with flux calculations
  - difficult to disentangle in PWR spectra (combination of isotopes)
  - · many hypotheses put forward to explain it
  - Some can be tested with HEU reactor: pure U-235 antineutrino spectrum
    - origin in U-238 or U-235
- Is this linked to the reactor anomaly ?
  - would not explain all of it so other explanations not yet ruled out
- More data needed !



[MeV]

11

## **Recent limits**

#### Daya Bay arXiv:1607.01174





- Relative comparison of spectra at three detector sites
- Sensitivity at <0.1eV<sup>2</sup> region improved by 2x with respect to Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 141802

#### MINOS+ arXiv:1605.04544



#### **Combined results: nue appearance**







### NEOS 2016

- Hanbit nuclear power plant 2.8 GWth, Korea
- baseline 24 m and 20 m.w.e overburden
- Detector in Tendon Gallery
- Homogeneous target detector, LS +Gd technology
- S:B of 20
- Sees two shapes distortions
- Exclusion contour at 90% CL entering region around 1 eV



#### Global fit 3+1 as of 2016



- IceCube and other recent results have restricted significantly the phase space for a 3+1 appearance hypothesis
  - doesn't give strong constraint on disappearance of electron neutrinos
- region around 1 eV<sup>2</sup> remains to be explored

# **Dedicated experiments**

#### Very short baseline reactor experiment

 dedicated new experiments require percent level precision in antineutrino spectrum measurement



- detector located close to reactor core
- operating on the surface

#### **New reactor experiments**



20

#### New reactor experiments

Tech Reactor P [MW] L (m) M (tonnes)

STEREO (Fr/Ger)	LS+Gd	ILL-HFR	57	8.8-11.2	2
Neutrino-4 (Ru)	LS+Gd	SM3	100	6-12	1.5
PROSPECT (US)	LS + <sup>6</sup> Li	ORNL HFIR	85	7-18	2
SoLid (UK/B/Fr)	PVT + <sup>6</sup> LiF:ZnS	SCK • CEN BR2	45-80	5.5-11	2
DANSS (Ru)	PS + Gd	KNPP	3000	9.7-12.2	0.9

#### Precise measurements at reactor

#### ~ 100 m - 1 km baseline



- underground laboratory
- large external shielding
- homogenous, well contained energy
- achieve percent level antineutrino flux measurement at PWR

$$\bar{\nu}_e + p \to e^+ + n$$



detection using IBD reaction

$$E_{vis} = E_{\bar{\nu_e}} - 0.782 \text{ MeV}$$
$$E_{vis} = T_{e^+} + 2\gamma \text{ (511 keV)}$$

#### Precise measurements at reactor

#### ~ 100 m - 1 km baseline



- Underground laboratory
- Large external shielding
- Well contained energy
- achieve percent level antineutrino flux measurement at PWR

#### ~ 10 m baseline

Challenges:

- sensitivity in E and L for oscillation search
- rejection of background
- security and safety constraint on site

#### Precise measurements at reactor

#### ~ 100 m - 1 km baseline



technology

- Underground laboratory
- Large external shielding
- Well contained energy
- achieve percent level antineutrino flux measurement at PWR

#### ~ 10 m baseline

Challenges:

- sensitivity in E and L for oscillation search
- rejection of background
- security and safety constraint on site



Highly segmented detector sufficiently compact to deploy meters away from core

#### **Oscillometric measurement** based on segmentation

- Measurement as a function of L and E with extended detector geometries •
- Adress challenging background conditions close to reactor core and surface.



~ 6 target cells Gamma-ray catcher volume SoLid

5-6 modules each of 10 planes 13 000 voxels 3200 read out channels Prospect

**Bugey-3 like detector** ~100 long cells read out channels

#### SoLid



- Search for oscillation with high precision in energy and position
  - only way to demonstrate new oscillation
- HEU core gives pure U-235 spectrum
  - addresses the 5 MeV bump
- New detector technology













### SCK•CEN BR2 reactor



- Tank in Pool MTR research reactor
- Licensed to run at power up to 100 MW
  - variable operating power (45-80 MW)
  - 6 cycles per year
  - Beam ports not in use
    - ideal for low background measurement





#### **3D** segmented composite detector



- composite /dual scintillator detector element :
  - 5 cm x 5 cm x 5 cm PVT cube segmentation to contain positron energy and localise interaction
  - Layer of LiF:ZnS(Ag) for neutron detection close to interaction

<sup>1</sup>  
<sup>4</sup>He 
$$^{6}Li$$
  
 $E_{tot} = 4.78 \text{ MeV}$ 

- WLS fibre to collect both scintillation light in X and Y direction
- each cube voxel optically separated from each other by reflective coating
- SiPM to read out fibre signal

#### **Signal localisation**





- Neutron capture efficiency uniform up to the edge of the detector
- Neutron capture one cube away from interaction gives directional sensitivity



#### Visible energy reconstruction



- Summing all energy visible in 1 m<sup>3</sup> detector
- gamma-ray leakage affects energy reconstruction

#### Visible energy reconstruction



 Energy estimation recovered by selecting two highest energy cube

#### **Energy reconstruction**



#### Real scale system SM1

2010-2013

2014-2015



64 voxels, 32 chan.

 SoLid Module 1 (SM1) 288kg
 2 304 voxels, 288 chan.
 9 detector planes

#### Learning how to build it













#### **Deployment at BR2**



#### **Energy response calibration**



#### Neutron ID and capture time



prompt to neutron capture time difference (AmBe source)

 Validated PID, neutron tranport simulation (MCNP & G4) and Li capture efficiency

#### Signal analysis

Demonstrated power of segmentation on background rejection





#### **IBD** candidate



Saffron event: 53636, time range: (19427.0, 20027.0) us

prompt

Data

#### **Background events**



#### Signal analysis

- Demonstrated power of segmentation on background rejection
- but SM1 had limited shielding and lower absolute neutron efficiency of ~ 2.5% due to high data rate



### Scaling up to full mass



### Improvements for SoLid

#### Neutron capture efficiency

- Additional LiF:ZnS sheets
  - Li capture efficiency 0.55 to 0.7 +30%
  - Reduced capture time 105 to 66 us
- new screens with improved transparency

# Light yield and uniformity of response

- 4 fibre read out
  - 37 PA/cube/MeV +66% increase in light yield from SM1
  - on target for 14%/sqrt(E) resolution
- 7% total variation of light yield across detector planes







Average PA/cube = 37.2

### **Trigger and efficiency**



- Neutron signal : large number of photons but distributed in time and large range of light output
  - in SM1 direct threshold had to be set to 6.5 PA to limit data rate and required two channel in coincidence
  - reduced neutron detection efficiency to 5%
- Neutron trigger implementation
  - limit reactor ON/OFF data sizes and rate
  - maximise neutron and IBD efficiency
  - reduce rate dependence to threshold

#### Neutron trigger and data size



- Neutron pattern recognition in firmware
  - neutron rate is low: Rn ~ 7 Hz
- Buffer time ±500 us and ±2 planes around neutron
  - can recover neutron detection efficiency from 5% to 70% !
- remove inefficiencies of forming coincidence
- Zero suppression threshold at 1.5 PA applied to other signals
  - Iimit data size and storage
  - Detector cooling to 5 deg to reduce dark counts



#### **SoLid detector integration**



### **SoLid construction**

- SoLid detectors are under construction !
- cube production and assembly well underway
- Staged production of electronics
- Trigger implemented and DAQ
  under development
- Calibration system to be commissionned next month
- Container built and to be delivered this month



















#### SoLid sensitivity



• Data taking starting spring 2017

### Summary

- Reactor anomalies in the electron antineutrino sector are still not understood
  - in addition to a rate deficit (or theoritical excess), disagreement in the spectrum shape have been measured
    - put current accuracy of conversion model in question
    - origin of deviation not yet understood but new U-235 spectrum measurement will test many hypotheses.
- Currrent experimental sensitivity is now entering the region of interest to look for a sterile neutrino of 1 eV
- Dedicated experiments have started or about to start in 2017
- SoLid will use a new technology and the HEU core of BR2 to search for oscillations
- New measurements should address the anomalies before 2020

Back up