Declination and spectral feature studies Auger - Telescope Array Workshop

Daniela Mockler¹

October 12, 2017



¹Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute for experimental particle physics

Spectra



Spectra - rescaled



Spectra in different declination intervals



Fits to the flux

- Perform fits to the unfolded spetra using two different models
 - three connected power laws E^{γ_1} , E^{γ_2} and E^{γ_3} with hard breaks at E_{ankle} and E_{break}
 - ► hard ankle and smooth suppression $J(E < E_{\text{ankle}}) = J_0 \left(\frac{E}{E_{\text{ankle}}}\right)^{\gamma_1}$ $J(E > E_{\text{ankle}}) = J_0 \left(\frac{E}{E_{\text{ankle}}}\right)^{\gamma_2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{E_{\text{ankle}}}{E_{1/2}}\right)^{\Delta\gamma}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{E}{E_{1/2}}\right)\right]^{-1}$
- All spectra fits are χ^2 -fits

Fit results for Auger spectra



Fit results for Auger spectra



Fit quality - Auger



Fit results for Auger spectra - $[-15.7^{\circ}, 24.8^{\circ}]$



 smooth



Residuals for Auger - $[-15.7^{\circ}, 24.8^{\circ}]$

hard



smooth

Fit results for Auger spectra - $[-5.7^{\circ}, 24.8^{\circ}]$



 smooth



Residuals for Auger - $[-5.7^{\circ}, 24.8^{\circ}]$



Residuals - Auger



Residuals - Auger



Fits results for the TA spectra



Fit results for Telescope Array



Fit quality - Telescope Array



Fit results for Telescope Array - $\delta > 26^{\circ}$

hard



smooth

Residuals for Telescope Array - $\delta > 26^{\circ}$







Fit results for Telescope Array - $\delta < 26^{\circ}$



Residuals for Telescope Array - $\delta < 26^{\circ}$



Residuals - Telescope Array



smooth



Residuals - Telescope Array



TA total spectrum up to 45°
 → how does it look like for TA < 55°

Fit parameters

Fit parameters - overall normalization



Fit parameters - position of the ankle



Fit parameters - spectral index prior to the ankle



Fit parameters - spectral index after the ankle



Fit parameters



• Position of E_{break} and $E_{1/2}$ respectively

Auger $[-15.7^{\circ}, 24.8^{\circ}]$ vs TA ($\delta < 26^{\circ}$)

- Common declination band
- $\bullet\,$ Energies rescaled by 5.2%



Auger $[-15.7^{\circ}, 24.8^{\circ}]$ vs TA $(\delta > 26^{\circ})$

• Energies rescaled by 5.2%



Summary

- Auger spectra better described by model with hard ankle and smooth suppression
- TA well described by three power laws
 → however, also smooth model fits well in case of the total spectrum
- TA spectrum has higher cut-off energy
- Cut-off energies compatible in common declination band
 → higher cut-off energy in northern declination band of TA → how does
 this develop with increasing statistics?
- residuals reveal more details
- show differences in the shape

Back-up

Fit parameters - increment of the spectral index after the suppression



Fit parameters - spectral index after the suppression for hard model



Telescope Array - declination spectra

 $\delta < 26^{\circ}$

 $\delta > 26^{\circ}$



Auger $[-15.7^\circ, 24.8^\circ]$ vs TA $(\delta < 26^\circ)$

- Common declination band
- No scaling



Auger $[-15.7^{\circ}, 24.8^{\circ}]$ vs TA $(\delta > 26^{\circ})$

• No scaling



Fit parameters - overall normalization

