Highlights from ARIANNA



Anna Nelles University of California Irvine





Detecting cosmogenic neutrinos



- Various flux predictions depending on composition, source evolution, maximum acceleration energy,
- Need a detector with a significant bigger effective volume than IceCube to have a solid chance of detection
- Optical detectors are currently cost prohibitive at these scales
- Alternative:
 Radio detection of neutrinos

Radio emission of showers

- High energy particles interacting in dense medium (or atmosphere) likely to produce shower
- Electromagnetic part of shower creates radio emission
- Three ingredients:
 - (Geo-)Magnetic field
 Lorentz-force deflects particles that are created
 - Charge imbalance, "Askaryan effect"
 shower accumulates electrons from medium
 - Relativistic compression
 Cherenkov-like effects due to index of refraction
- This theory holds, as shown with air shower experiments such as LOPES, CODALEMA, AERA, LOFAR, Tunka-Rex

Emission of showers in dense media



- Same emission mechanism as in air showers, however:
 - Charge excess emission becomes dominant process
 - Shower is shorter, so high frequencies become more relevant
- Emission confirmed in accelerator experiments at SLAC, for both with magnetic field (Belov et al., ICRC 2015) and without magnetic field (Phys. Rev. D 72(2005)023002)
- Simulations predict measurable neutrino signal > 10¹⁶ eV in radio above "normal" backgrounds
- First experimental detection of neutrino still to be done

Going polar

• Large volumes of dense medium with reasonable attenuation length, at no cost





Same idea, different approaches



- Antennas deep vs. shallow
- Dipole antenna vs. log-periodic dipole antennas
- Run by a station vs. autonomous detectors
- South Pole vs. Ross Ice-Shelf
- Different electronics and trigger concept

• . . .

Concept of ARIANNA

- Independent antenna stations can be installed at low costs on the surface
- High gain antennas (50 - 1000 MHz) can be used to instrument a large volume
- Solar and wind power making the stations fully autonomous
- Real-time data transfer via satellite or long-range wifi



ARIANNA

- Independent antenna stations can be installed at low costs
- High gain antennas
- Solar and wind power making the stations fully autonomous
- Real-time data transfer via satellite or long-range wifi
- On ice-shelf: Ice-water boundary almost perfect reflector for radio emission in addition to direct signals from neutrinos



Concept of ARIANNA

- Independent antenna stations can be installed at low costs
- High gain antennas
- Solar and wind power making the stations fully autonomous
- Real-time data transfer via satellite or long-range wifi
- Surface deployment: ARIANNA array would also be very large cosmic ray / gamma ray array



ARIANNA



Current status of ARIANNA - HRA



Hardware

- Very low-power customized DAQ boards (< 1 Watt)
- 1 GHz (or 2 GHz) sampling, 256 ns (or 128 ns) per triggered waveform
- 4 and 8 channel/antenna systems deployed
- Long-range wifi (AFAR relay via McMurdo station) and satellite communication (Iridium), real-time data transfer
- Online real-time monitoring
- 8 stations running reliably with same hardware since 2014/2015 in Moore's Bay, other stations since 2012





12 Anna Nelles, SuGAR 2018

New this season

Dedicated cosmic ray stations

Horizontal cosmic ray tower: cosmic ray background for tau neutrino studies



Power systems

- Since 2015: Solar powered with 20 Amph LiFePO₄ batteries for buffering
- Custom windgen developed in Uppsala (Bernhoff, Hallgren)
- First prototype, survived the winter and took data, since this season a slightly updated one, attached to a station





ARIANNA @ South pole

- The deeper ice at lower temperatures might have advantages for the ARIANNA concept
- Questions to be answered:
 - Interference background at surface?
 - Survival of hardware at lower temperatures
 - Dealing with power from station instead of independent power
 - Station available for borehole tests with pulser (*data is being analyzed as we speak)





Radio noise background



- Extremely quiet radio environment at Moore's Bay
- Small, time-varying contribution of narrowband emitters
- Spectrum clearly dominated by Galactic noise
- In addition: very little transient broadband sources

What about South Pole?

Online Monitoring of station at South Pole



- While station is open, many bursts of pulsed interference
- · Site less good (in summer), let's wait for the winter
- 17 Anna Nelles, SuGAR 2018

Signal propagation





- Classically, signals will be bent downwards, leading to "forbidden" regions
- However, at many locations (Moore's Bay, South Pole, Greenland, ...) radio signals observed in "forbidden" regions



 Ice is layered, not smooth gradient

Paper in prep.

 Density fluctuation lead (also classically) to ray trapping and horizontal propagation

Understanding crucial for future neutrino arrays

See also poster C. Glaser

Signal propagation

Paper in prep.

Additional evidence for several multi-path propagations



- Understanding of ice propgation is coming together
- However, still anecdotal evidence, so additional studies like in borehole (2000 m) at South Pole have to have highest priorities

Cosmic Rays



- The most "important"
 background
- Since emission mechanisms is the same, signals are expected to be very similar to neutrino signals

- Two stations have been equipped with upward pointing antennas
- One station has been equipped with antennas out of the snow pointing towards the mountain
- Air showers will be used as calibration source for the energy, arrival direction reconstruction and as proof of principle for detection method



Raw electric field spectrum



- Air shower signals through front-lobe of LPDA have a unique characteristic
- High frequency chirping followed by lower frequencies
- Due to short broadband pulses and group delay of antenna and amplifier

Cosmic Rays 2016

0.9

0.8

0.7

- Analysis on one station as proof of principle
- One road: devise cluster cut to remove noisy periods: 7% loss in livetime
- Other option: Cut on simulation expectation, 0.001% loss in efficiency



Noise failed cluster cut

Noise passed cluster cut

Signal failed cluster cut

Signal passed cluster cut

^{0.72} .u

0.64 0.56 0.56 0.48

0.40 Jad

0.32 density

Probability

0.00

0.24

 Cosmic ray search at ARIANNA: one cut analysis

Cosmic Rays Overview



- Find correlation with one simulated signal template
- Distinct set of isolated events with high correlation
- Overlap of 25 events in high amplitude set and high correlation set

Measured air shower



Measured air showers



 ARIANNA is only experiment that directly measures air showers from 50 - 500 MHz with no significant filtering against RFI

 Slope of the frequency spectrum can be used without restriction

Horizontal-cosmic ray station



- If air shower radio signal is observed coming out of the mountains: tau neutrino
- Collaboration with TAROGE (analysis thanks to S. Wang)
- Use cosmic rays as proof-of principle, no mis-reconstruction to mountain allowed
- Observation of ANITA HiCal calibration pulser
- Angular reconstruction to few degrees
- New layout of HCR this seasons, larger lever arm, improvement of angular reconstruction expected

Energy reconstruction

- The spectrum of the signal pulse translates to an energy and other shower parameters
- Example for a single event:



Energy reconstruction (new)

- More general approach for all cosmic rays based on energy fluence and spectral slope being developed
- Based on fully reconstructed electric field
- Indication how to tackle the energy reconstruction for a single station detection and also for neutrino reconstruction



Flux calculation





- Use simulations to:
 - calculate most probable energy
 - with livetime calculate exposure
- Combine with number of events to flux
- In good agreement with literature

ARIANNA-like array with 1000 stations



 A surface array with ARIANNA stations would also be a relatively large cosmic ray detector

Sensitivity to diffuse neutrino flux

- Basically a function of money: stations are independent so sensitivity scales linearly with number of stations deployed (and time operational)
- "Uncertainties": ice propagation, analysis efficiency, antenna choice,



Sensitivity to explosive events

- Could ARIANNA contribute to seeing a GW counterpart?
- Not yet, but surprisingly (?) sensitive already in current set up of 10 stations
- Unfortunately, the GW detection happened in polar winter ...



Outlook - Neutrino simulation



- Signal simulation softwares are not at a quality where they should be
- Team from ARA and ARIANNA working on update
- ShelfMc and ARASim agree in principle at the same simple set-up
- Complexer signal propagation not implementable
- Impossible to change aspects of detector configuration

• ...

• New software effort is currently being started (for all dense media)

Outlook - Large neutrino array

- ARIANNA hardware has been running reliably and would be ready for a large array
- Neutrino community needs to merge to proposed one joint array
- Open questions:

34

- Go deep, stay shallow?
 - Signal propagation (high priority), antenna types, ...
- Where? South Pole vs. Moore's Bay vs. anywhere else?
 - Ice, radio interference background, infrastructure, ...

