



Auger and Belgium



Daniela Mockler
Postdoctoral researcher
1985-11-19
• IceCube/InTop
• Auger
• SPM




Onazio Zappamata
PhD student
1993-09-19
• Auger (Prime)
• Mass composition
• Detector site



Nicolas Gonzalez
Postdoctoral researcher
1982-03-20
• Auger
• Pierre Auger
• AMGA



Maurizio Simeoni D'Amico
Postdoctoral researcher
1978-01-19
• Auger
• Spectrometers
• Antares



Katerina Serikova
Master student
1994-01-10
• Auger Prime
• Spectrometers
• Calorimeters

Some of the ULB contributions to Auger:

- energy spectrum of cosmic rays
- long term performance of the detectors and calibration
- mass composition enhanced anisotropies
- searches for photons
- AugerPrime upgrade detector


Belgium is a full member country since 2018

Pierre Auger Observatory



Pierre Auger Observatory
Province Mendoza, Argentina



... and AugerPrime



More than 400 members,
98 institutes, 17 countries



Southern hemisphere: Malargue,
Province Mendoza, Argentina

(some..) Auger detectors



Guillermo E. Sierra - 2007



Five independent measurements of the energy spectrum and the instep



very good agreement between the energy spectra (better than 5%)


14% energy systematic uncertainty

Five independent measurements of the energy spectrum and the instep




Presence of the second knee and a new feature: the instep

Five independent measurements of the energy spectrum and the instep




Presence of the second knee and a new feature: the instep



Comparison with Telescope Array measurement



Comparison with Telescope Array measurement: declination dependency?




Auger data: the expected flux difference from the dipole
Difference at the highest energies not fully understood




Modification of hadronic models


Combined fit of correlated X_{\max} distribution and $S(1000)$ signal at ground



Combined fit of correlated X_{\max} distribution and $S(1000)$ signal at ground allowing for an **angular-dependent muon re-scaling** (only mean muon number changed)





Combined fit of correlated X_{\max} distribution and $S(1000)$ signal at ground allowing for an **angular-dependent muon re-scaling** (only mean muon number changed) and **shifting X_{\max}** of all primaries by fixed value




A shift in X_{\max} and muon number required

Assumptions: relative fluctuations no change






Main effect from re-scaling muon component in a zenith angle dependent way
Scaling X_{\max} leads to further improvements

Mass composition distribution over the sky



- Scan over the data recorded before 01.01.2013 (54%)
- 5° steps in b and $0.1 \lg(E/\text{eV})$ steps in energy
- Highest TS of 8.35 for: $\rightarrow E_{\min} = 10^{18.7} \text{ eV}$
 $\rightarrow b_{\text{split}} = 30^\circ$




Mass dependent horizon effect?


No confirmation from other variables yet

More data are needed (and more sensitivity)

Extension of searches for photons to energies smaller than 10^{17} eV



Selection of deep air-showers with small number of muons



Leading the extension to low energies by including the underground muon detectors



Summary

Pierre Auger Observatory: Phase I

- Very large exposure: 80000 up to 120000 km² sr year depending on the analysis
- The instep: a new unexpected spectral feature that could naturally be explained by the change in mass composition
- A change in the mass composition is established (light at 1 EeV getting heavier with energy)
- Composition highly linked to hadronic interactions and air-shower simulations
- Large scale anisotropies have been measured, small scale anisotropies hard to assess. The dipole and its energy dependency need a complex interpretation involving the mass composition, the particle horizon, magnetic fields, local source distribution

Phase II

- At least 40 000 km² sr year additional exposure expected
- Increased sensitivity towards mass composition
- Usage of modern techniques (deep learning) in data analysis

Auger data are now public (10%): opendata.auger.org



Backup

Auger Prime upgrade

Physics motivation

- Composition measurement up to 10^{20} eV
- Composition selected anisotropy
- Particle physics with air showers
- Much better understanding of **new and old** data

Composition sensitivity with 100% duty cycle



Components of AugerPrime

- 3.8 m² scintillator panels (SSD)
- New electronics (40 MHz -> 120 MHz)
- Small PMT (dynamic range WCD)
- Radio antennas for inclined showers
- Underground muon counters (750 m array, 433 m array)
- Enhanced duty cycle of fluorescence tel.




(AugerPrime design report 1604.03637,



Construction of the SSDs complete

What can we say about the UHECRs sources from energy spectrum and mass composition measurements?





A = 1
 $1 < A < 5$
 $4 < A < 23$
 $22 < A < 39$
 $38 < A < 57$

Bands:
 Experimental uncertainties
 (model uncertainties smaller)


Energy scale: $\sigma_{\text{sys}}(E)/E = 14\%$

X_{max} scale: $\sigma_{\text{sys}}(X_{\text{max}}) = 6 \div 9 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$


Different model scenarios considered for low-energy part (transition to galactic component), similar results for total composition obtained



Ultra high energy neutrinos




(JCAP 10 (2019) 022,
JCAP 11 (2019) 004)





Multimessenger: searches of neutrinos in coincidence with GW

Sources searches: aperture compatible to IceCube for preferential directions

Future: we will lower the detection threshold with new electronics





From air-showers to primary particle characteristic



$$E_{FD} = \int dE/dX + \text{invisible energy correction}, \quad E_{SD} = f(\theta, S1000)$$


From air-showers to primary particle characteristic



$$E_{FD} = \int dE/dX + \text{invisible energy correction}, \quad E_{SD} = f(\theta, S1000)$$

Anisotropies at small scales: correlations with catalogues


$\Phi(E_{\text{Auger}} > 41 \text{ EeV})$ [$\text{km}^{-2} \text{sr}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$] - Galactic coordinates - $\Psi = 24^\circ$



Direction fixed to that of Cen A, free E_{th} and Ψ

$E_{\text{th}} > 41 \text{ EeV}$, $\Psi = 27^\circ$: **3.9 σ** post-trial deviation from isotropy (5% excess)

Starburst galaxies (radio) - expected $\Phi(E_{\text{Auger}} > 38 \text{ EeV})$ [$\text{km}^{-2} \text{sr}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$]




(Jonathan Biteau)

Model flux map

All data until end of 2020, optimized quality cuts: $120,000 \text{ km}^2 \text{ s}$

Catalog	E_{th} [EeV]	Ψ [deg]	α [%]	TS	Post-trial p -value
All galaxies (IR)	40	24_{-8}^{16}	15_{-6}^{10}	18.2	6.7×10^{-4}
Starbursts (radio)	38	25_{-7}^{11}	9_{-4}^6	24.8	3.1×10^{-5}
All AGNs (X-rays)	41	27_{-9}^{14}	8_{-4}^5	19.3	4.0×10^{-4}
Jetted AGNs (γ -rays)	40	23_{-8}^9	6_{-3}^4	17.3	1.0×10^{-3}




Linear growth of the TS

Expected 5σ reach in 2025-2030

Large scale anisotropy

Harmonic analysis in right ascension α


Significant dipolar modulation (5.2σ) above 8×10^{18} eV: $(6.5_{-0.9}^{+1.3})\%$ at $(\alpha, \delta) = (100^\circ, -24^\circ)$






- Expected if cosmic rays diffuse in Galaxy from sources distributed similar to near-by galaxies
- Strong indication for extragalactic origin

Large scale anisotropy

4-8 EeV





8-16 EeV



16-32 EeV

above 32 EeV

Large scale anisotropy



Energy-independent dipole amplitude disfavored at the level of 3.7σ

Combined analysis with Telescope Array coll.:
better constrain on the dipole direction

