Radio detection of cosmic particles

A historical overview and theory

Olaf Scholten

VUB

university of groningen



Why UHECR & UHEv radio frequency detection? Towards multi-messenger astronomy



Statistics, thus large detectors: use radio emission from UHE-CR and -v

Radio Frequency (RF):

- Simple, cheap detectors
- RF waves are not attenuated in air & ice

Recent: add-on or driving technology of major experiments, such as



Radio frequency observations

Jelley et al. 1965; Allan & Jones 1966: first detection of short pulses emitted by cosmic-ray induced air showers.



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Astroparticle Physics

ELSEVIER

Astroparticle Physics 19 (2003) 477-494

www.elsevier.com/locate/astropart

2003, birth of modern RF air shower detection:

Detecting radio emission from cosmic ray air showers and neutrinos with a digital radio telescope

Heino Falcke^{a,*}, Peter Gorham^b

Coherent emission, basic mechanisms

Coherent: intensity ~ $(\# \text{ particles })^2 \sim (\text{shower energy})^2$

In medium:

G.A. Askaryan, Sov. Phys. JETP 14, 441 (1962); 21, 658 (1965)

Askaryan = Charge excess radiation:

- Negative charge buildup at shower front.
- Front moves faster than in-medium speed of light

In air:

F.D. Kahn and I. Lerche, Proc. Royal Soc. A289, 206 (1966)

Geomagnetic = Transverse current: - Electrons & positrons have transverse drift, induced by geomagnetic field.

Modern modelling of radio signal

Need modelling of VHF emission from showers to extract direction, mass, energy.

A) Forward modeling (discussed here)

Have model for emission of radiation from particle shower and find best fit to data

- Microscopic (add emission from each electron) : CoREAS & ZHAires
- Macroscopic (calculate emission from moving charge cloud): EVA & MGMR

B) Parametrizations of radio footprint

C) Interferometric reconstruction

Trace back signal of each antenna to particle shower.

Microscopic **DD** Macroscopic approaches

Microscopic, full Monte Carlo (ZHAireS, CoREAS):

- Accounts for complete shower physics
- Minimum of approximations
- Monte Carlo based (random value for X_max)
- Very CPU intensive
- Difficult to get insight in physics

Macroscopic, semi analytic (MGMR):

- Not CPU intensive
- Predictive, steepest descent search, applicable to more complicated cases
- Insight in physics, understand importance of shower structure
- Parametrization of shower physics
- Approximations

E. Zas, F. Halzen, and T. Stanev, Phys. Rev. D 45, 362 (1992) J. Alvarez-Muñiz and E. Zas, Phys. Lett. B 411, 218 (1997)

T. Huege, H. Falcke, A & A 19, 412 (2003)

O. Scholten, K. Werner, F. Rusydi, Astropart. Phys. 29, 94-103 (2008)

General mechanism of Radio emission

In medium (ice or rock): Askarian effect (charged particle moving faster than in-medium speed of light) In air: Askarian & Geomagnetic effects (electric current induced by Lorentz force)



CosPa - Gent 202,



Precision Measurement

TOUT AND A



Asymmetry intensity footprint

Microscopic (CoREAS) approach

For each measured event: Perform about 30 CoREAS calculations and plot mean-square deviation from data v.s. X_max

Minimum chi-square defines value X_max Typical result

From A.Corstanje et al, PRD 103, 102006

Arthur's & Bjarni's results

Arthur Corstanje (LOFAR)

Bjarni Pont (Pierre Auger Observatory, Engineering Radio Array)

Results obtained using CoREAS Requires ~50 simulations per measured event Each simulation ~ 24h CPU

CosPa - Gent 2023

Macroscopic approach

MGMR: radiation emitted from parametrized time-dependent charges & currents in air-shower pancake, moving with speed-of-light.

Fast & Insight in physics of radio emission:

Drift velocity proportional to inverse-square-root of air density: $v_d \sim 1/\sqrt{\rho(air)}$ Similar to terminal velocity of macroscopic particle in medium.

Maximum charge excess (proportional to $\rho(air)$) deeper in atmosphere then maximum of current. Slight arrival time (=phase) difference causes circular polarization of radio signal.

Stokes parameters: I, Q, U, V

Linear polarization angle: $2 \phi = atan(U/Q)$ Circular polarization = V/I

Fairweather MGMR3D - CoREAS

Comparison for many CoREAS showers

Atmospheric electric fields

Transverse current:

- Electrons & positrons have transverse drift, induced by EM force.
- Multi-directional along $F_{em} = v \times B + E(h)$
- Direction and magnitude E depends on height
- Current determined by component perp to shower direction

Charge excess:
- Linearly polarized, radially from shower axis

Upper E(h)=0 Middle E(h)≠0

lower height

The full signal: superposition of all

Gia Trinh et al. Phys. Rev. D 93, 023003 (2016); arXiv:1511.03045

Observations; polarization footprint

Determining atmospheric electric fields

Challenge: Many parameters
Girid search cumbersome
Levenberg-Marquardt minimization requires: Fast & Deterministic code
Use MGMR

19-Jun-23

Physics for thunderstorm events

Atmospheric fields induce electric currents in shower plasma

Like for Fair Weather: Circular polarization due to emission-height differences.

Here: caused by height dependence of orientation of atmospheric fields.

Independent of azimuth position.

A Thunderstorm event (#7)

MGMR3I

× DATA

MGMR3

* DATA

MGME

* DATA

Footprint determined by atmospheric electric fields; invert problem to find the fields

18-Jun-23

CosPa – Gent 2023

MGMR3I

× DATA

MGMR3

* DATA

MGMR

• DATA

* DATA

A Thunderstorm event (#9)

Footprint determined by atmospheric electric fields; invert problem to find the fields

18-Jun-23

CosPa – Gent 2023

Analysis – Tomography

event A – event B	$\mathbf{E}_A \cdot (\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{v}_A \times \mathbf{v}_B})$	$\mathbf{E}_{B} \cdot (e_{\mathbf{v}_{A} \times \mathbf{v}_{B}})$	Ez	\mathbf{E}_{z}	height
Top – Top	43	10	-94	-95	$\approx 8 \text{ km}$
Middle – Middle	-2	13	113	114	3.5 km
Bottom – Bottom	4	-9	-15	-15	1.5 km

Relate to atmospheric data and charge structure:

Radar top8.8 kmTop positive charge8 km-10 degree4.2 kmMain negative charge3.5 kmFreezing2.5 kmIower positive charge1.5 km

Radar reflectivity

Summary

- Field is very young, developed fast
- Modern (digital) radio detection started in 2003
- Understanding in terms of geomagnetic (transverse current) & charge excess radiation (with Cherenkov effects) from 2008
- Precise detection & interpretation of radio footprint (with LOFAR) in 2014
- Complementary Microscopic and Macroscopic approaches
- Present: major roll-out of radio detection GRAND, Pierre Auger Observatory, IceCube & RNO-G, SKA

LOFAR lightning physics: 1) Non-intrusive E-field determination 2) Nano-second LMA

B. Hare et al., Nature 568, 360-363 (2019)

Applying to LOFAR data

Compare results CoREAS and MGMR based analyses of LOFAR data. Fit: Energy, X_{max}, & Core position. Compare extracted parameters for all 270 quality events.

Applying to LOFAR data

Compare results CoREAS and MGMR based analyses of LOFAR data.

Fit: Energy, X_{max}, & Core position. Compare extracted parameters for all 270 quality events.

MGMR3I

× DATA

MGMR3

* DATA

MGME

• DATA

* DATA

Footprint determined by atmospheric electric fields; invert problem to find the fields

18-Jun-23

CosPa – Gent 2023

28

Analysis of two events (#6, #7)

Event	A			В			
Time 26/08/2012	13:52:23 UTC			14:02:56 UTC			
$(heta, \phi)$	(23°, 144°)			(18°, 310°)			
Energy [eV]	$4.4 imes 10^{16}$			3.9×10^{16}			
	h	$E_{\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{z}}$	$E_{\mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{z})}$	h	$E_{\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{z}}$	$E_{\mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{z})}$	
Layer	[km]	[kV/m]	[kV/m]	[km]	[kV/m]	[kV/m]	
1	9.1	-47.3	32.3	5.8	14.1	26.2	
2	4.0	1.9	2.7	3.4	1.0	-82.5	
3	1.2	-4.3	-0.6	1.7	-6.9	11.6	

radar reflectivity

Two events in 10 minutes, through same cloud

18-Jun-23

Conclusions

- MGMR offers an fast alternative for analyzing shower radio footprints for
 - Fair weather events to extract air shower profile
 - Thunderstorm events to extract atmospheric electric fields

Some relevant Publications:

- Gia Trinh et al., Influence of Atmospheric Electric Fields on the Radio Emission from Extensive Air Showers., Phys. Rev. D 93, 023003 (2016); arXiv:1511.03045.
- Gia Trinh et al., Thunderstorm electric fields probed by extensive air showers through their polarized radio emission. Phys. Rev. D, 95 (2017) 083004
- Olaf Scholten et al,, Analytic calculation of radio emission from parametrized extensive air showers: A tool to extract shower parameters. Phys. Rev. D, 97 (2018) 023005
- Gia Trinh et al., Determining electric fields in thunderclouds with the radiotelescope LOFAR, JGR 125, e2019JD031433 (2020).
- Gia Trinh et al., Determining atmospheric electric fields using MGMR3D. Phys. Rev. D105, 063027 (2022)
- Pragati Mitra, High precision reconstruction of air shower properties with dense radio arrays, PhD thesis VUB 2021 and in preparation.

38-Jun-23

CosPa – Gent 2023

Observations; intensity footprint

Structure of the field

A reconstructed thunderstorm event

Ring vorming door intergerentie

38-Jun-23

Interpretation circular-polarization for fair weather

ChX Peaks lower in atmosphere than GM (physics) At 100 m, 30-80 MHz, delay = 1 ns

$$I = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0}^{n-1} \left(|\mathcal{E}|^2_{i,\vec{v}\times\vec{B}} + |\mathcal{E}|^2_{i,\vec{v}\times\vec{v}\times\vec{B}} \right)$$
$$Q = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0}^{n-1} \left(|\mathcal{E}|^2_{i,\vec{v}\times\vec{B}} - |\mathcal{E}|^2_{i,\vec{v}\times\vec{v}\times\vec{B}} \right)$$
$$U + iV = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{0}^{n-1} \left(\mathcal{E}_{i,\vec{v}\times\vec{B}} \mathcal{E}^*_{i,\vec{v}\times\vec{v}\times\vec{B}} \right) .$$

Stokes parameter V is measure of circular polarization

Slight delay between GM &ChX causes rotation in polarization

Macroscopic GeoMagnetic Radiation > The Basic picture <

- LOFAR
- Radio emission mechanisms
- Include AtmElField, e-par, e perp, circ pol
- Footprint, stokes, fair-weather values & plot
- Thunderstorm values & plots
- Inverse problem, fitting
- Full field, tomography
- Conclusions –also E in non th-clouds non intrusive tomography