## **Observing cosmic rays with SKA and LOFAR**

**LOFAR cosmic ray Key Science Project SKA High Energy Cosmic Particles Science Working Group VUB members: Stijn Buitink, Arthur Corstanje, Vital De Henau, Mitja Desmet, Tim Huege**



**28 November 2024, IIHE Annual meeting, Brussels**

# Air shower observations with SKA & LOFAR



- Where is the Galactic to extragalactic transition?
- What are the most powerful Galactic accelerators?
- What is the mass composition of cosmic rays?
- Can radio be used to study shower physics?

# LOFAR 1.0 finished

- Understanding emission mechanism
- Full Stokes polarization, frequency dependence, wavefront shape, atmospheric effects, thunderstorm influence, etc.
- Mass composition measurements based on Xmax.
- Calibration of absolute energy scale based on Galactic background emission.





**A. Corstanje et al., Phys. Rev. D 103, 102006 (2021)**



- Fully commensal data taking + expanded particle detector array more statistics (roughly one order of magnitude)
- simultaneous Low Band (30-80 MHz) and High Band (110-180) higher precision
- radio trigger / hybrid trigger reach lower energies  $($  ~ 10<sup>17</sup> eV  $\rightarrow$  10



## Transition period LOFAR 1.0 LOFAR 2.0



$$
0^{16.5}~\mathrm{eV}
$$

## Transition period LOFAR 1.0 LOFAR 2.0



## Square Kilometer Array (SKA)





5

# The Square Kilometre Array

- SKA will have mid-freq array in South-Africa and low-freq in Australia. Construction has started.
- SKA-low will consist of 57,344 log-periodic antennas within an area of  $~1$  km<sup>2</sup>
- Frequency bandwidth 50-350 MHz
- Extremely high-density & homogeneous coverage: very precise radio observations of air showers
- Energy range: 1016 eV 1018 eV. Further extension down to knee energy possible with interferometric techniques. Schoorlemmer & Carvalho arXiv:2006.10348 (2021), Schlüter & Huege, JINST arXiv:2102.13577 (2021)



*Prototype @MRO (256 antennas)*



**Layout of particle detector array at SKA-low** 

**Antenna field**

- **Particle detectors dense array (~100 units)**
- **Particle detectors ring (~50 units, optional)**
- **Particle detectors remote (~18 units, optional)**

**Scintillators from KIT (KASCADE-Grande coll.)**



*Prototype station @ Murchison Widefield Array* 

*Low noise system: SiPMs & RFoF comm.*

## The SKA Particle detector array

## **This year: Deployment of 8-station array at MWA**

*Design: Univ. of Manchester (J. Bray, R. Spencer) Deployment: Curtin Univ. (C.W . James) DAQ: CSIRO*



**J. Bray et al., NIMPA 973, id. 164168 (2020)**





**740 kEuro funding from FWO (medium scale infrastructure)**

**Redesign to 3rd generation in Karlsruhe (KIT)** 

# SKA simulations











Simulations: Xmax with SKA

**Final resolution will depend on uncertainties in:**

- **- Antenna model**
- **- Atmosphere**
- **- Galactic background (via calibration)**
- **- MC simulations**

**A. Corstanje et al., PoS(ARENA2022)024**

# Towards low energies

## Traditional reco:

High precision, small bias down to 1016.4 eV

## Beamforming:

Mass composition down to 1016 eV

Signal down to 1015 eV Galactic gamma-rays?





# Double-bump showers

- **<sup>A</sup> high-energy hadron** (or other fragment) from first interaction can **interact late** causing a **second bump**
- Double-bump showers are rare, more frequent at **lower energies**
- Study hadronic cross section by measuring *ΔX* and *N1/N2*
- **Most frequent for Helium**: additional constraints on mass composition



**Arthur Corstanje, Mitja Desmet**







**Vital De Dehau**

# Results for first 6 proton sims





**Vital De Dehau**

# Applications

## QGSJET-II EPOS SIBYLL

- Frequency of double bump depend on hadronic physics model and mass composition
- 
- Small separation: stretched showers (much more common)

• Additional opportunities for proton/Helium separation: early bumps, bump ratios

## 16



# Simulation challenge

- Current generation CR-radio (LOFAR, Auger) uses dedication sets of ~25 simulations for each observed shower.
- CORSIKA/CoREAS full radio sim take days on a single node.
- SKA: higher statistics, more antennas, multivariate fitting simulations need to be faster by orders of magnitude!





• We take a Monte-Carlo based simulation as input and apply parametrisations to synthesise the emission from a target with different properties.

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

- We rescale the emission coming from each atmospheric slice separately, accounting for the shower properties:
	- Distance from slice to antenna

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

- We rescale the emission coming from each atmospheric slice separately, accounting for the shower properties:
	- Distance from slice to antenna
	- Number of particles, the density and refractive index in the slice

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

- We rescale the emission coming from each atmospheric slice separately, accounting for the shower properties:
	- Distance from slice to antenna
	- Number of particles, the density and refractive index in the slice
	- The "shower age" in the slice

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

- We rescale the emission coming from each atmospheric slice separately, accounting for the shower properties:
	- Distance from slice to antenna
	- Number of particles, the density and refractive index in the slice
	- The "shower age" in the slice
	- The viewing angle of the antenna, in units of local Cherenkov angle

## These scaling relations make template synthesis applicable to all geometries (at least up to 60°)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

- We rescale the emission coming from each atmospheric slice separately, accounting for the shower properties:
	- Distance from slice to antenna
	- Number of particles, the density and refractive index in the slice
	- The "shower age" in the slice
	- The viewing angle of the antenna, in units of local Cherenkov angle
- And this in a matter of **seconds**!

**Mitja Desmet**

## The accuracy of template synthesis is on the same level as the inherent shower fluctuations

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

## The accuracy of template synthesis is on the same level as the inherent shower fluctuations

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

the results of synthesis to full MC simulations

25

# Conclusions

- LOFAR 1.0 has concluded observations. Next: LOFAR 2.0 and SKA
- SKA will produce highest-resolution radio air shower observations
- Particle detector array of ~100 units, funding by FWO
- Unprecedented precision on Xmax at 10<sup>16</sup> 10<sup>18</sup> eV Beamforming for lower energies. PeV gamma-rays?
- New reconstruction possibilities: double-bump showers & stretched shower hadronic physics & mass composition