



# Master thesis defense: Measurement of the W helicities in top quark events with CMS at the LHC

Annik Olbrechts

Vrije Universiteit Brussel

27 June 2011

Annik Olbrechts (VUB)

#### Introduction

### **Overall view of the LHC experiments.**

### **Proton-proton collisions** $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV **Integrated luminosity** (CMS 2010): 36/pb



Annik Olbrechts (VUB)

Measurement of W helicities in top quark events

27 June 2011 2 ,

Introduction

# Weak V-A interaction for top physics

- ▶ Top quark decays almost exclusively in W-boson and bottom quark (V<sub>tb</sub>  $\approx$  1)
- Spin information of top quark restricts possible decay configurations:



- ▶ Left-handed *f*\_ = 0.301
- Longitudinal  $f_0 = 0.698$
- Right-handed  $f_+ = 4.1 \times 10^{-4}$

 $\Rightarrow$  Right-handed helicity surpressed SM relation:  $\left| f_{-} + f_{0} + f_{+} = 1 \right|$ 

> W boson helicity restricts angular distribution of decay products:







## Aim of analysis

- Compare helicity distribution for data with alternative helicities
- Best-fit  $\chi^2$  value represents data helicity
- Result presented in helicity  $(f_+, f_0)$  plane



## Reweighting helicity distribution

- ▶ No Monte Carlo simulation samples for different helicity values  $\Rightarrow$  Reweighting applied on simulated semi-muonic  $t\bar{t}$  sample
- > Distribution for SM compared with alternative helicity for each event:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta^*} = f_-\frac{3(1-\cos\theta^*)^2}{8} + f_0\frac{3(1-\cos^2\theta^*)}{4} + f_+\frac{3(1+\cos\theta^*)^2}{8}$$

Comparison performed on generator level



## Measurement of W boson helicity

Compare Standard Model helicity distribution with alternative helicity distributions with  $\Delta\chi^2$  method:

$$\chi^{2}(f_{+}, f_{0}) = \sum_{i=1}^{bins} \left(\frac{y_{alt,i} - y_{Data,i}}{\sqrt{y_{Data,i}}}\right)^{2}$$



### Conclusion:

- Result is in agreement with the Standard Model
- > Best-fitted result postition can be enhanced with extra selection criteria

# Extra selection criteria: b-flavour identification

The impact of b-tagging is determined by testing whether the relative difference in the  $\cos \theta_{reco}$  distribution between points in the (f+,f0) plane is enhanced or not.



$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{bins} \left(\frac{y_{\mathit{SM},i} - y_{\mathit{Alt},i}}{\sqrt{y_{\mathit{SM},i}}}\right)^2$$

	$\chi^2_{SM,1}$	$\chi^2_{SM,2}$	‡ events
No bTag	1.59	1.68	274
Loose bTag	2.46	2.75	103
Medium bTag	2.66	2.78	59

### **Conclusion:**

Loose bTag has the best balance between high  $\chi^2$  and results in enough selected events

# **B-Tag effect**

### No bTag



### SingleTop tW-Channel (3.9 entr tt+jets other (19.8 entries) tt+jets semi-µ (132.7 entries)



$$S/B = 132.7/163.6$$
  
= 0.811

### Low bTag





$$S/B = 69.2/36.4$$
  
= 1.901

### Medium bTag





$$S/B = 50.5/15.3$$
  
= 3.301

Annik Olbrechts (VUB)

Measurement of W helicities in top guark events

27 June 2011 10 / 12

Effect of JES on  $t\bar{t}$ :

 $\Delta f_+$ 

 $\Delta f_0$ 

# Systematic uncertainty

To enhance statistics simulated sample is compared against slightly adapted simulated sample



### Conclusion:

Small systematics with respect to statistical uncertainty on 2010 data

Conclusions

# First measurement of W helicities with CMS at LHC

Standard Model relation constraint:

$$f_{-} + f_{0} + f_{-} = 1$$



 $\rightarrow$  Preliminary 68% Confidence Level is in agreement with Standard Model value

## Outlook

This analysis on the 2010 data is the basis of my Master thesis, but I will repeat this on the 2011 data during the summer and study more detailed the systematics.

Annik Olbrechts (VUB)

# Effect of bTag on RECO vs GEN





SM Monte Carlo samples: 38X Fall10, PAT-ified in CMSSW\_3\_8\_5\_patch3:

Process	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle eff}(NLO)(pb)$	Sample
TTJets	157.5	/TTJets_TuneD6T_7TeV-madgraph-tauola/Fall10-START38_V12-v2/AODSIM
WJets	31314	/WJetsToLNu_TuneD6T_7TeV-madgraph-tauola/Fall10-START38_V12-v1/AODSIM
ZJets	3048	/DYJetsToLL_TuneD6T_M-50_7TeV-madgraph-tauola/Fall10-START38_V12-v2/AODSIM
Single top (t)	20.93	/TToBLNu_TuneZ2_t-channel_7TeV-madgraph/Fall10-START38_V12-v2/AODSIM
Single top (tW)	10.6	/TToBLNu_TuneZ2_tW-channel_7TeV-madgraph/Fall10-START38_V12-v2/AODSIM
QCD (mu+jets)	84679.3 (LO)	/QCD_Pt-20_MuEnrichedPt-15_TuneZ2_7TeV-pythia6/Fall10-START38_V12-v1/AODSIM

- Data: Full 2010 /Mu dataset: L<sub>int</sub> = 36.1 pb<sup>-1</sup>
  - Nov4 ReReco of Run2010A and Run2010B, PAT-ified in CMSSW\_3\_8\_6\_patch1

# MC corrections and event selection

- The lepton + jets (mu channel) topology TopLeptonPlusJets group twiki (mu): https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMS/TopLeptonPlusJetsRefSel\_mu
- Corrections and scale factors applied to the MC [according to recipe on twiki]
  - Jet Energy Resolution
  - Leptonic branching ratio correction
  - Trigger- and lepton efficiencies

Data-driven factors to scale the SM Monte Carlo (to be multiplied with cross section):

▶  $\beta_{ttbar} = 1.06$ ,  $\beta_{WJets} = 1.34$ ,  $\beta_{ZJets} = 1.32$ ,  $\beta_{singletop} = 1.0$ ,  $\beta_{QCD} = 2.09$ 

### Analysis selection:

- Reference selection SelV4 of the TopLeptonPlusJets group (in sync) "exactly 1 isolated muon with p<sub>T</sub> 20 GeV/c, and at least 4 jets with p<sub>T</sub> 30 GeV/c"
- In following: Particle Flow jets (MC: L2L3 corrections START38\_V14, data: L1OffsetL2L3 and L2L3Residual GR\_R\_38X\_V15)