

Electroweak interactions - Exercices

In order to fix the notations, here we follow the notations of [1] describing a Dirac spinor as

$$\psi(x, t) = \sum_s \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_k}} \left(a_{k,s} u(k, s) e^{-ikx} + b_{k,s}^\dagger v(k, s) e^{ikx} \right). \quad (1)$$

The sum runs over spin values s and $a_{k,s}^\dagger$ ($b_{k,s}^\dagger$) creates a particle (antiparticle) of momentum \vec{k} and spin s . The spinors u and v obey the Dirac equations

$$\begin{aligned} (\not{k} - m)u(k, s) &= 0 \\ (\not{k} + m)v(k, s) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with the sums:

$$\sum_s u(k, s) \bar{u}(k, s) = \not{k} + m, \quad \sum_s v(k, s) \bar{v}(k, s) = \not{k} - m \quad (3)$$

For a vector, we use:

$$V^\mu(x, t) = \sum_\lambda \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2E_k}} \left(a_{k,\lambda} \epsilon_{k,\lambda}^\mu e^{-ikx} + b_{k,\lambda}^\dagger \epsilon_{k,\lambda}^{\mu*} e^{ikx} \right) \quad (4)$$

where the sum runs over the polarizations λ and the $\epsilon_{k,\lambda}^\mu$ are the polarization vectors that satisfy:

$$\epsilon_{k,\lambda}^\mu \epsilon_{k,\lambda'}^\mu = -\delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \quad \text{and} \quad k_\mu \epsilon_{k,\lambda}^\mu = 0. \quad (5)$$

Also, in order to calculate decay widths, you can make use of the following expression for the decay width [2]:

$$d\Gamma(X \rightarrow ab) = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} |\mathcal{M}|^2 \frac{|\vec{p}_a|^2}{m_X^2} d\Omega \quad (6)$$

which is valid in the restframe of the particle X . $|\mathcal{M}|^2$ refers to the transition matrix squared summed (averaged) over final (initial) state polarization and spins and \vec{p}_a and $d\Omega = d\phi_a d\cos\theta_a$ are the momentum and the solid angle of particle a respectively.

1 Decay of a gauge boson and number of ν_L families

1. Compute the decay width for the process:

$$W^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \quad (7)$$

neglecting electron and the neutrino masses. For that purpose, use the lagrangian for gauge boson-lepton interactions that were obtained in the lectures. You can also use of the following tools:

- (a) the sum over the polarization vectors of the on-shell W boson of 4-momentum k is given by $\sum_\lambda \epsilon_{k,\lambda}^\mu \epsilon_{k,\lambda}^{\nu*} = -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{m_W^2}$

- (b) $\text{tr}(\text{any odd nb. of } \gamma\text{'s})=0$
- (c) $\text{tr}(\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu) = 4 (g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} - g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} + g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\mu\beta})$
- (d) $\text{tr}(\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^5) = -4i \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$
- (e) $\gamma_\mu^\dagger = \gamma_0 \gamma_\mu \gamma_0$ in Dirac and Weyl representations.

2. Compute the decay width for the process:

$$Z \rightarrow \bar{\nu}\nu. \quad (8)$$

You can use the similitudes between the Lagrangians driving (7) and (8) for a rapid evaluation.

This is of interest because the total decay width of the Z boson can be obtained analyzing the total cross-section for e^+e^- annihilation at the Z pole obtained at LEPI and SLAC. Compare the obtained decay width with the invisible decay width of Z obtained experimentally (see e.g. PDG [2]) and deduce the number of families of active neutrinos in Nature.

3. Check 1a using the properties of the polarization vectors (5) and assuming $\sum_\lambda \epsilon_{k,\lambda}^\mu \epsilon_{k,\lambda}^{\nu*} = A g^{\mu\nu} + B k^\mu k^\nu$. Also check 1c without making use of a particular choice of representations of the γ matrices and check 1e in the Weyl representation.

2 Decay of the top quark and Goldstone bosons

1. Compute the decay width for the process:

$$t \rightarrow W^+ b \quad (9)$$

using the lagrangian for gauge boson-quarks interactions that were obtained in the lectures and assuming that the CKM matrix element $|V_{tb}| \simeq 1$ and neglecting the mass of the bottom quark.

2. Compute the decay width for the process:

$$t \rightarrow \phi^+ b, \quad (10)$$

where ϕ^+ is the charged Goldstone boson appearing in the decomposition of the Standard Model (SM) scalar doublet (use the top-SM scalar yukawa interactions). Compare to the result obtained in the previous exercise and discuss.

References

- [1] Michael E. Peskin and Daniel V. Schroeder. An Introduction to quantum field theory. 1995.
- [2] Beringer et al. (Particle Data Group). Review of particle physics. *Phys. Rev. D*, 86:010001, Jul 2012.